

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/457,743	12/10/1999	HIDEAKI SAKURAI	0834-0243-0	8014	
22850	7590 05/07/2003				
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			JACKSON, MONIQUE R		
		1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1773	19	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4		AS-			
	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/457,7	43	SAKURAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit				
		R Jackson	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisic after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re - Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no every mmunication. y (30) days, a reply within the state n statutory period will apply and weight will, by statute, cause the apply s after the mailing date of this co	ent, however, may a re utory minimum of thirty ill expire SIX (6) MONT dication to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commu ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 28 February 2	003					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is						
, _	· -						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-25 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,7-17 and 19-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 4, 5, 6/1 and 18/1</u> is/ar	re rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election r	equirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by	•						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 February 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed onis: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	to by the Examiner.						
	im for forcian priority un	-do-25115.0.5	110(0) (1) 07 (5)				
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a clai a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of	•	idei 35 0.3.C. 9	119(a)-(u) or (i).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priori		n received					
2. Certified copies of the priori			unlication No				
		•	·				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	•		ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152				

Application/Control Number: 09/457,743 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 2/28/03 has been entered. Claim 3 has been canceled. Claims 1-2 and 4-25 are pending in the application. Claims 2, 7-17 and 19-25 have been withdrawn from consideration.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 2/28/03. These drawings are acceptable.
- 4. The rejections over Konishi et al, the admitted prior art, and JP'767 have been withdrawn in light of the amended claims that now require the fluoride layer comprise a fluoride compound containing oxygen. Applicant's arguments with regards to the rejection over the Jansen patent are persuasive and hence the rejection has been withdrawn.

Double Patenting

5. Claims 1, 4, 5, 6/1 and 18/1 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 10-12 and 14 of copending Application No. 09/901908 for the reasons recited in the prior office action and restated below.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to determine the optimum thickness of the fluoride layer to provide the desired mechanical properties for a particular end use. Further, though 09/901908 claims specific forms for the

Application/Control Number: 09/457,743

Art Unit: 1773

metal oxide layer (film body), the form of the layer (film body) does not materially change the composition of the metal oxide layer.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 2/28/03 with respect to the double patenting rejection have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that the presently claimed invention does not require a film body that is an aggregate of columnar crystallites wherein the fluoride layer is present on the peripheral and top surfaces of the aggregates as claimed in the copending application and that the instant invention is not obvious in view of 09/901,908 in view of the structural differences between the film bodies. However, the instant invention does not claim any structural limitations with regards to the film body and hence the film body of the instant application is broader than the film body of 09/901,908 but has the same material composition a film body of metal oxide with a fluoride layer on the surface.

 Therefore, the film body of 09/901,908 reads on the broader instant invention though the instant invention does not require the specific aggregate structure of the copending application.
- 7. This application contains claims 2, 7-17 and 19-25 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 12. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 3

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique R Jackson whose telephone number is 703-308-0428. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J Thibodeau can be reached on 703-308-2367. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Monique R. Jackson

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700

May 6, 2003